Modeling & Simulation Approaches To Support Development of Immuno-Oncology Drugs

Amit Roy

ASCPT Pre-Conference Quantitative Translational Approaches in Oncology San Diego 08-March-2016



Goals of M&S in the Development of I-O Drugs

- Characterize clinical pharmacology profile
- Inform go/no-go decisions and dose selection
- Inform assessments of benefit-risk
- Support dose-optimization

Pharmacometric analyses should account for the unique attributes of I-O agents



Mechanism of Action of I-O Agents: Ipilimumab and Nivolumab



- Ipilimumab: fully human aCTLA-4 IgG1 mAb
- Nivolumab: fully human aPD-1 IgG4 mAb

I-O agents enable and enhance the ability of the immune system to recognize and eliminate tumor cells

- Ipilimumab increases the number of activated T-cells
- Nivolumab prevents inactivation, and promotes re-activation of T-cells

McDermott D, et al. (2014). Cancer Treatment Reviews, 40(9), 1056-1064



Time-Profile of Target Tumor Burden: Metastatic Melanoma Patients Treated with Nivolumab ± Ipilimumab



Characteristics of tumor-response to I-O Agents

- Patients who do not progress tend to have durable disease control
- Unconventional responses: reduction in target tumor burden despite appearance of new lesions
- Distinct patterns of response particularly evident with combination therapy



Wolchok JD, et al. (2013). New England Journal of Medicine, 369(2), 122–33.



Onset and Durability of I-O Agent Induced Tumor Responses: Metastatic Melanoma



Time Since Treatment Initiation (weeks)

- *Tumor responses are maintained, despite discontinuation of therapy*
- The immune response may be self-sustaining ٠



Topalian SL, et al. (2013), Journal of Clinical Oncology, 32(10), 1020–1030

Long-Term Survival: Ipilimumab in Metastatic Melanoma



- Delayed separation of OS curves relative to non-IO agents
- Median survival may not fully reflect clinical benefit

McDermott D, et al. (2014). Cancer Treatment Reviews, 40(9), 1056-1064



Model-Predicted* Tumor Growth Dynamics Following Treatment with I-O Agents (Ipilimumab and Nivolumab)



Mixture model of TGD describes patterns of tumor response

* Nonlinear mixed-effects mixture-model of TGD is based on structural model proposed by Wang et al, CPT (2009)



Utility of I-O Agent Induced Tumor Response to Predict Overall Survival: Ipilimumab in Advanced Melanoma

External Validation of Prognostic Variables and Tumor Shrinkage Measures to Predict OS



- OS model developed with data from Ph2 studies was validated with data from a Ph3 study
- I-O agent induced tumor shrinkage is predictive of OS



Pharmacokinetics of Nivolumab and Ipilimumab in Combination: Effects of Covariates on CL and VC*





*CL: Clearance, VC: Central compartment volume of distribution

Nivolumab and ipilimumab exhibit linear PK

- CL and VC increase with increasing body weight
- CL is associated with disease severity (ECOG and LDH)

Zhu L, et al, ASCPT (2016) [Poster PC-16 and PI-002] Statkevich P, et al, ASCPT (2016) [Poster PC-17 and PI-126]



Exposure-Response of Safety (AEs DC/D)*: Nivolumab and Ipilimumab in Advanced Melanoma



Nivolumab Dose/Exposure-Response of OS in Patients with 2L RCC



Motzer RJ, et al. (2015). Journal of Clinical Oncology, 33(13), 1430-1437.

The modest dose-response is not consistent with the apparent exposure-response



Functional Form of Cavgss and CL Relationship to Risk of Death



- Relationship of Cavgss to risk of death <u>is not</u> consistent across dose regimens
- Relationship of CL to risk of death *is* consistent across dose regimens



Another Example of the Association Between CL and OS: Tremelimumab Patients with Metastatic Melanoma



Kaplan-Meier of OS, by Exposure Quartiles

- Magnitude of the effect of CL cannot be ٠ explained by lower exposure
- OS of 15 mg/kg Q90D was better than 10 ٠ mg/kg Q28D (in Ph2 study)
- Association between CL is independent of other risk factors

Female 34 89 gG <960 mg/dL 78 53

Subgroup

Slow-CL

No. of Patients



Hazard-Ratio of Death (Sub-Group Analysis by CL category)

Fast-CL

No. of Patients



Wang E., et al. (2014). Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 54(10), 1108–1116.

Potential Reasons for the Association Between CL of Anti-Cancer mAbs and Efficacy

- CL of anti-cancer mAbs is associated with factors related to disease-severity (and poor prognosis)
- Observed prognostic factors only explain a portion of the higher risk
- CL may be a surrogate for unobserved risk factors



Potential Confounding Effect of CL on Exposure-Response of Efficacy (OS) in NSQ-NSCLC (1/2)

Effect of Covariates on Hazard of OS in NSQ-NSCLC

(Full Model without CL)





Feng Y et al, ASCPT (2016) [Poster PC-10 and PI-098]

Estimate (95% CI): Continuous (P05) — Estimate (Continuous Values > Reference)

Potential Confounding Effect of CL on Exposure-Response of Efficacy (OS) in NSQ-NSCLC (2/2)

Effect of Covariates on Hazard of OS in NSQ-NSCLC

(Full Model with CL) Visual Predictive Check of OS in NSQ-NSCLC, by Dose Level Covariate Categorical = Comparator:Reference Hazard Ratio (95% CI) (Full Model with CL) Continuous = Reference (P05 - P95) Prior Maintenance Therapy (Yes:No) 0.981 (0.725, 1.33) (N=190:164) 0 2 4 6 8 10 EGFR (Wild Type:Mutant) 0.763 (0.506, 1.15) (N=191:50) ma/ka 3 <u>mg/kg</u> 10 ma/ka Smoker (Never:Current/Former) 0.971 (0.674, 1.4) 1.0 (N=68:286) PD-L1 status (>=1%:<1%) 0.67 (0.485, 0.926) (N=133:122) 8.0% Line of Therapy (>2nd Line:2nd Line) 1.52 (1.09, 2.13) (N=100:254) of Sex (Male:Female) 0.98 (0.722, 1.33) (N=187:167) Probability 0.6 ECOG (>0:=0)1.42 (1.02, 1.97) (N=256:98) Baseline Tumor Size [cm] 0.756 (0.557, 1.03) 7.6 (2.1 - 19) 1.14 (0.987, 1.33) Baseline Albumin [g/dL] 0.823 (0.669, 1.01) 4 (3.1 - 4.63) 1.32 (0.982, 1.78) N = 18N = 299N = 37Baseline Body Weight [kg] 0.54 (0.396, 0.735) 70.2 (49 - 98.5) 1.59 (1.26, 2) Aae [vr] 1.11 (0.885, 1.38) 62 (44.7 - 77) 0.891 (0.691, 1.15) 0.0 Baseline LDH [xULN] 1.84 (1.44, 2.35) 1(0.557 - 2.21)0.638 (0.532, 0.764) 2 4 6 8 10 2 4 6 8 10 0 0 Clearance [mL/h] 2.59 (2.3.33) 8.4 (4.75 - 14.4) 0.367 (0.281, 0.482) Time [Month] 0.982 (0.68, 1.42) Cavg1 [µg/mL] 27.9 (14.9 - 90) 1.01 (0.829, 1.23) CPH Predicted Mean (90% PI) K-M (Observed) -----0.25 1.00 4.00 Predictions of model with CL are consistent Hazard Ratio Relative to Reference Value with observed data Estimate (95% CI): Continuous (P95) — Estimate (95% CI): Categorical Estimate (95% CI): Continuous (P05) — Estimate (Continuous Values > Reference)



Feng Y et al, ASCPT (2016) [Poster PC-10 and PI-098]

Summary

• I-O agents have unique attributes

- Tumor growth may be controlled without achieving objective response (PR or CR) by RECIST criteria
- Efficacy may be maintained long after drug wash-out

• CL of mAb agents may be associated with efficacy, independent of exposure

- Subjects who have more severe disease may have higher CL
- Exposure-response relationships determined with data from just a single dose level may show an artefactual relationship
- Dose-response studies are recommended to generate data that can estimate the effects of both CL and exposure



Acknowledgements

Yan (Summer) Feng Xiaoning (Shelly) Wang Satyendra Suraywanshi Li Zhu **Phyllis Chan Heather Vezina** Gaurav Bajaj Shruti Agrawal Paul Statkevich Matt Hruska

Neelima Thanneer Erin Dombrowsky Xiaoli Wang Manish Gupta **Akintunde Bello CJ Godfrey Curtis Johnston Jonathan French**

